Somatosensory perception through body-worn technology and digital touch

Katherine, S. M. Rees*

University for the Creative Arts, katie.rees@uca.ac.uk

CCS CONCEPTS • Human-centered computing IInteraction design; • Human-centered computing IHuman computer interaction (HCI); Interaction techniques; Interaction paradigms

Additional Keywords and Phrases: Somatosense, Body-worn technology, digital touch, improvisation

ACM Reference Format:

2023. Body x Materials: A workshop exploring the role of material-enabled body-based multisensory experiences. In CHI '23: ACM, April 23-28, 2023, Hamburg, Germany. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages.

1 CONTEXT

Sensory participation and tool use in the creative process has been widely discussed across different fields. Tim Ingold [1], in his anthropological discussion of skilled tool use, considers ongoing low-level attention and acknowledgement of affordances in creative making rather than automatic and unconscious movement. Lambros Malafouris and his theory of Material Engagement [2] in cognitive archaeology consider the flow between material, maker and the thinking process. In his recent journal article with Koukouti [3], they define this as 'haptic attentive unity', further theorising the interaction between maker and material and the process of creative decision-making in the moment. In the design process, the work of Wilde [4] and Nunez- Pacheco and Loke [5] considers the somatic register of the body and multisensorial experience in design ideation. From a technological perspective, the use of tools and their involvement in the mutual shaping of behaviour [6]. Within the literature on dance and technology, there is a growing body of work that considers the interaction between dancer and material enables multiple states of presence [7] and that the senses integrate or are reordered through the multisensorial and multimodal experiences of using technology in choreography [8].

2 EXISTING WORK

My existing research involves body-worn technology and passive digital touch. In creating three prototypes and studying their use in two projects, I investigated somatsense in the creative process of making dance (predominantly improvised movement in generating dance material). Looped patterns of vibration or pressure produced by the prototypes enhanced or heightened dancers'/choreographers' bodily awareness. Participants did not necessarily perceive the experience as influencing the action choice but the 'how' or qualitative dynamics of the movement. In the article

^{*} Place the footnote text for the author (if applicable) here.

'Improvising with digital touch' [9] I discuss the marked pre-reflective experience of using the prototypes in a facilitated choreographic workshop. This line of inquiry develops in the forthcoming article [10] where I use philosopher Maxine Sheets Johnstone's thoughts on sensation as being "temporally punctual and spatially pointillist" [11]. I argue that with the repetition of the loop and its marking of specific points in space and time, the prototype enables a heightened awareness of the moving body. The second project in my research enabled longer timeframes of prototype use, and consequently, different working relationships evolved and provided evidence for Hayles's [12] theory of working with/through/against and alongside technology. Furthermore, the article considers Tuuri and Eerola's [13] theory of 'listening' and 'hearing' and discusses this concerning the comprehension of digital touch whilst simultaneously dancing, leading to selective entrainment with technology.

3 PROPOSAL FOR WORKSHOP

It would be interesting to use two prototypes (bracelets with patterns of looped vibration and pressure from inflatable silicone waistbands) with non-dancers in a guided improvisation within the workshop 'Body x Materials'. Regarding discussion points, I would like to discuss the fluidity between interoception and exteroception through engaging the somatosense [14] and the ethics of technologies involved with body-based multisensory experiences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been supported by the London College of Fashion, UAL and Studio Wayne McGregor PhD fund (2016-2020)

REFERENCES

- [1] Tim Ingold. 2011. Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description. Routledge. Abingdon
- [2] Lambros Malafouris. 2013. How Things Shape the Mind. MIT Press
- [3] Lambros Malafouris and Maria-Danae Koukouti. 2022. Where the touching is touched: The role of haptic attentive unity in the dialogue between maker and material. Multimodality & Society, 2(3). 265–287. DOI: 10.1177/26349795221109231
- [4] Danielle Wilde. 2011. Swing That Thing: moving to move. The poetics of embodied engagement, Ph.D. thesis. Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
- [5] Claudia Nunez- Pacheco and Liane Loke. 2017. Tacit Narratives: Surfacing Aesthetic Meaning by Using Wearable Props and Focusing. Proceedings TEI 2017. Yokohama. Japan. March 20–23. DOI: 10.1145/3024969.3024979
- [6] Marshall McLuhan. 1964/2001. Understanding Media: the extensions of man. Routledge. London
- [7] Andrea Davidson .2013. Somatics: An orchid in the land of technology. Journal of Dance & Somatic Practices, 5(1). 3 –15.
- [8] Isabelle Choinière. Enrico Pitozzi and Andrea Davidson. 2019. Through the Prism of the Senses. Mediation & New Realities of the Body in Contemporary Performance. Technology, Cognition & Emergent Research-Creation Methodologies. Intellect. Bristol
- [9] Katherine Rees. 2022. Improvising with digital touch. Multimodality & Society. 2(3). 247-260. DOI: 10.1177/26349795221111279
- [10] Katherine Rees. forthcoming 2023. Using digital touch in the process of improvising and the implications for kinaesthesia. Journal for Dance and Somatic Practices
- [11] Maxine Sheets-Johnstone. 2011. Embodied minds or mindful bodies? A question of fundamental, inherently inter-related aspects of animation. Subjectivity. 4(4). 451–466.
- [12] Katherine Hayles. 2012. How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis. The University Press of Chicago. Chicago.
- [13] Kai Tuuri and Tuomas Eerola. 2012. Formulating a Revised Taxonomy for Modes of Listening. Journal of New Music Research, 41(2). 137–152.
- [14] Katherine Rees. 2022. Somatosensory wearable technology in the dance making process. Ph.D. Thesis. London College of Fashion. University of Arts London. London.

